

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKÉT NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/808,858	03/25/2004	Timothy Michael Nisbet	TS-1308 (US) JDA:KNL	6679
75	90 09/22/2004	•	EXAM	INER
Jennifer D. Adamson			SHIPPEN, MICHAEL L	
Shell Oil Company Legal - Intellectual Property			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 2463			1621	
Houston, TX 77252-2463			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a. •
1
-
Ou
~1

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/808,858	NISBET ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN	1621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action	is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exc	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is re-					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attenders and a					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/15/04	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				
Paper INO(S)/INIAII Date <u>0.5/ 15/04.</u> 0) ☐ Other					

Application/Control Number: 10/808,858

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 1021

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 06-032747. Note the examples². As to claims 6+, the recitation that the feed is "obtainable" by the recited steps is not seen to distinguish the claims from the prior art feed. The claim language does not require that the steps recited to actually be preformed.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 02/26680, USP 5,015,787, USP 6,015,927 or USP 6,215,030. See the examples of WO 02/26680; Example 3 of USP 5,015,787; the examples of USP 6,015,927 and Examples 5, 9 and 13 of USP 6,215,030.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 1033

Claims 1-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 06-032747. The reference is applied as above. Besides the examples, the reference

¹ The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

² The attached translation is computer generated and is not completely accurate.

³ The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,858

Art Unit: 1621

teaches that the process parameters and component compositions may be varied with

the expectation of obtaining similar results. It is well within the skill of the artisan to vary

the parameters of the prior art process and compositions with the expectation of

obtaining similar results. The claims read on these obvious variations in the prior art.

Claims 11-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO

02/26680, USP 5,015,787, USP 6,015,927 or USP 6,215,030. The references are

applied as above. Besides the examples, the references teach that the catalysts'

compositions may be varied with the expectation of obtaining similar results. It is well

within the skill of the artisan to vary the compositions with the expectation of obtaining

similar results. The claims read on these obvious variations in the prior art.

Conclusion

The remaining references are cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Michael L. Shippen** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-0647**. The Examiner's normal tour of duty is 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1600**. The official group FAX machine number is **703-872-9306**.

MShippen September 19, 2004

MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1621